

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 RONALD BRENNAN JR.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 ANTHONY ASTON, et al.,

12 Defendants.

Case No. C17-1928-JCC-MLP

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO FILE OVER-LENGTH  
RESPONSE BRIEF

13  
14 This is a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 prisoner civil rights action. Defendant Hatchell and  
15 Defendants Machyo and Chavez have filed separate motions for summary judgment, which are  
16 noted for March 22, 2019. Dkts. 192 (Hatchell Mot.), 193 (Machyo and Chavez Mot.). The  
17 motions are eight and 18 pages long, respectively. The defendants argue that plaintiff's claims  
18 against them must be dismissed because he does not allege they caused him a physical injury, as  
19 required by the Prison Litigation Reform Act, and they are entitled to qualified immunity.

20 Currently before the Court is plaintiff's "Motion for Over Length Response to Defendant  
21 Motion for Summary Judgment." Dkt. 211. Plaintiff attaches a 10-page index and exhibit list,  
22 and a 154-page response to the motions for summary judgment. Dkts. 211-1, 211-2.  
23

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO FILE OVER-LENGTH  
RESPONSE BRIEF - 1

1 The Court DENIES plaintiff's motion. Dkt. 211. Plaintiff's proposed brief addresses *all*  
2 of plaintiff's claims against the 26 defendants named in this action and is not limited to the  
3 discrete arguments raised by the three defendants who have moved for summary judgment.  
4 Instead of addressing issues that are not relevant to the pending motions for summary judgment,  
5 any response briefs should be tailored to the specific arguments defendants raise in their motions.  
6 In addition, plaintiff's motion does not comply with the requirements of Local Rule LCR 7(f).<sup>1</sup>

7 To allow plaintiff additional time to file appropriate response briefs, the Clerk shall RE-  
8 NOTE the motions for summary judgment, Dkts. 192, 193, for **April 5, 2019**. Plaintiff shall file  
9 any response briefs by **April 1, 2019**, and defendants may file reply briefs by the noting date.

10 The Clerk is directed to send copies of this order to the parties and to the Honorable John  
11 C. Coughenour.

12 Dated this 14th day of March, 2019.

13 

14 MICHELLE L. PETERSON  
15 United States Magistrate Judge  
16

---

17 <sup>1</sup> Local Rule LCR 7(f) states:

18 Motions seeking approval to file an over-length motion or brief are disfavored but may be filed  
19 subject to the following:

20 (1) The motion shall be filed at least three judicial days before the underlying motion or brief is  
21 due, and shall be noted for consideration for the day on which it is filed, pursuant to CR 7(d)(1).

22 (2) The motion shall be no more than two pages in length and shall request a specific number of  
23 additional pages.

(3) No opposition to the motion shall be filed unless requested by the court.

(4) If the court grants leave to file an over-length motion, the brief in opposition will automatically  
be allowed an equal number of additional pages. In all cases, the reply brief shall not exceed one-  
half the total length of the brief filed in opposition.